

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/542,230	Applicant(s) LEE ET AL.	
	Examiner ANDREW D. KOSAR	Art Unit 1654	

All Participants:

(1) ANDREW D. KOSAR.

(2) Kathy Smith Dias.

Date of Interview: 9 October 2009

Status of Application: after response

(3) ____.

(4) ____.

Time: 11:00

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
rejections of record, in general

Claims discussed:
all

Prior art documents discussed:
art of record, briefly

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Andrew D Kosar/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1654

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Discussed amendments that would place the claims in condition for allowance. As amended, the claims would require at least one targeted and one untargeted amine. Such requirements are not taught/suggested in the art, and thus would form the basis for distinguishing over the prior art. Applicant's representative agreed to the amendments..